IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

CRIMINAL ACTION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 13-0438

VS. JANUARY 22, 2014

GERALD SILVA * * * * PROVIDENCE, RI

HEARD BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM E. SMITH

CHIEF JUDGE

(Defendant's Motions in Limine)

VOLUME I

REDACTED

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE GOVERNMENT: TERRENCE P. DONNELLY, AUSA

U.S. Attorney's Office

50 Kennedy Plaza

Providence, RI 02903

ROBERT B. MANN, ESQ. Mann & Mitchell FOR THE DEFENDANT:

One Turks Head Place

Suite 610

Providence, RI 02903

Court Reporter: Anne M. Clayton, RPR

> One Exchange Terrace Providence, RI 02903

Proceeding reported and produced by computer-aided stenography

<u>I N D E X</u> <u>WITNESS</u> JOHN ROBERT LEO	<u>(</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Direct Examination by Mr. Mann: Cross Examination by Mr. Donnelly: Redirect Examination by Mr. Mann:		9 44 67
GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS	<u>ID</u>	<u>FULL</u>
A - D -	49	50
<u>DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS</u>		
1 - 5 -		9

22 JANUARY 2014 -- 2:05 P.M.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is the United States versus Gerald Silva. We're here on Defendant's three motions, a motion in limine with respect to a proposed expert, a motion to dismiss on the basis of constitutional vagueness, and a motion to dismiss Count VII because certain material was not presented to the grand jury.

So let's begin by having counsel identify themselves for the record, beginning with the Government.

MR. DONNELLY: Good afternoon, your Honor.

Terrence Donnelly for the United States.

MR. MANN: Good afternoon. Robert Mann for Mr. Silva.

THE COURT: Thank you.

So how would you like to proceed? Mr. Mann, I guess these are all your motions. Do you want to go first and how would you like to take them?

MR. MANN: I'd like to do the motion in limine because Professor Leo is here, Judge.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. MANN: And that way we can get him on the stand.

THE COURT: Sure. Tell me before you put him on

the stand what you intend to do with his testimony.

MR. MANN: For the trial or for this afternoon? THE COURT: For this afternoon.

MR. MANN: I have submitted to the Government and really the Court has it too as an attachment, a summary of what I anticipate his testimony will be at the trial.

I can tell the Court that since we submitted that, he had completed his review. There were a few videos he has not yet reviewed. He will testify that when he viewed those remaining videos, which happened to be yesterday afternoon, none of that changed any of his opinions.

I'll go through his curriculum vitae quickly. I just gave the Government what I would think of as a slightly updated and slightly different curriculum vitae. I'll move to introduce both of those to establish his credentials.

The one thing he can amplify of what the summary of his testimony will be, but it will be more in the nature of amplification from what I submitted. But the one additional area which I think is significant is this. The question before the Court now is why allow an expert to testify. And I intend -- and obviously, these would not be questions that would go before the

jury, but I intend to ask him some questions about his experience teaching film and what he learned from those experiences. And what I expect him to say is that -- and I should note he's in the room. If the Court wants him to leave --

THE COURT: No. That's fine.

MR. MANN: What I expect him to say is that he's learned from teaching film courses that people see films differently after they've had an introduction into sort of the techniques, the nature of film, that students miss stuff in the beginning. They have a whole different appreciation of the film experience after they've had some instruction on it, Judge.

THE COURT: I don't want to cut you off, but I'm wondering if we can't cut through a lot of this and really get -- and maybe make this a little more efficient. And what I'm suggesting is I'm not sure the Government is objecting to Professor Leo's qualifications for what his area of expertise is. I think that the Government's argument is more focused on whether it is appropriate to have an expert or not in a case like this.

So I'm thinking we can cut through all the qualifications and that sort of stuff.

Is that right, Mr. Donnelly?

MR. DONNELLY: In part, your Honor. As far as Professor Leo's qualifications goes, the Government acknowledges he's had a distinguished career at the University of Rhode Island teaching English Literature and then later in his career I guess branching out into some kind of film studies.

So as far as his qualifications go, the Government is not challenging the fact he had a distinguished career along those lines. It's the fact that his career has absolutely nothing to do that would assist the jury in making the determinations it has to make.

To the extent that his opinions would bear on what the jury's job is, as I outlined in our memorandum of law, he would be invading the province of the jury.

And I think that's where we're at with it.

THE COURT: That's argument. And I have some real questions about the focus of the testimony. I guess I'm wondering if we should have argument first, I guess, is what I'm really wondering about the appropriateness of expert testimony in this field. Then, if I am inclined to think there may be some appropriateness to it, then maybe we could have some testimony directed to that. I guess that's what I'm thinking. But if you feel that it would be a better

and more efficient presentation if you put him on first and then we argued it, I'm open to that.

MR. MANN: What I would propose is sort of half-way there. Quickly go over his credentials. I have premarked as exhibits his two CV's, the summary of the testimony that the Court and the Government already have.

THE COURT: We can take all that in. I don't think there would be any objection to that.

MR. MANN: But then I do think it would be helpful for me to ask Professor Leo a few questions almost directly at the point that seems to be in contention, which is how can he help this jury understand what's at issue, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. I think I'm agreeing with you. Let's do it. Let's get him on the stand.

MR. MANN: I'd call Professor Leo.

I might want to point out also, Judge, that I did file a supplemental or a brief reply.

THE COURT: I reviewed it.

JOHN ROBERT LEO, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN

THE CLERK: Please state your name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is John Robert Leo. Last name is L-E-O.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Professor Leo. Just keep that microphone pointed toward you.

And then Mr. Mann, you may proceed.

MR. MANN: To expedite the process, Judge, I propose first five exhibits that I've premarked, the two curriculum vitaes of Professor Leo, one previously submitted and an undated one; a summary of his testimony, which has previously been marked; a set of photographs of Calvin Klein ads, which has also been previously submitted submissions to Court, and the testimony before the Grand Jury that also has been previously submitted in connection with several of the motions.

THE COURT: Any objection to these exhibits.

MR. DONNELLY: Did you say five or four?

MR. MANN: Five because I gave one earlier.

MR. DONNELLY: Could I just ask Mr. Mann one question about one I was just given?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Pause.)

MR. DONNELLY: Your Honor, in order to save time, the Government has no objection to the first five exhibits.

THE COURT: Thank you. The first five exhibits will be admitted in full, 1 through 5.

(Defendant's Exhibits 1 through 5 admitted in full.)

MR. MANN: The record can reflect on Exhibit 2 both on the Government's copy and the Court's copy I corrected a typo of how long he had been at the University of Rhode Island.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANN

- Q. Professor Leo, let's -- how many film courses would you estimate you've taught?
- A. It's hard to say. Mainly because I have large lectures and they're counted as two courses and the Dean's Office has told me that I've probably taught more student film classes than anybody else in the university. So I would estimate for a 40-year career, I have had over 5,000 students total. The reason I know that is because I retired 40 years to the day after I retired and I was given a list of all the people I had had and courses.
- **Q**. Now, were some of those courses introductory level courses?
- A. The course I taught, courses from introductory level to I directed maybe six dissertations, Ph.D. dissertations on film.
- **Q**. And from teaching these courses, did you have

feedback with students about their experiences in your courses?

A. I should mention, too, that courtesy of the State
Department and the Polish Government, I was a Fulbright
Distinguished Chair of American Studies and American
Literature in Poland for two years, and my courses
there were also in film, and I supervised theses there.

I really think URI does a lot for its students.

I was the first member of my family to have gone to college.

- **Q**. Can I just focus for a second, Professor Leo. Did you in the course of teaching have interaction with the students throughout the courses?
- A. I didn't hear you.
- **Q**. Did you have interaction with your students throughout your courses?
- A. You mean discussions?
- **Q**. Yes.

- A. Yes. A lot. It was expected of us to meet with students on a regular basis and to have lunches with them, do a lot things.
- Q. Can you summarize for us what you taught in your introductory film courses?
 - **A**. What I taught?
- 25 Q. What you taught. What were you teaching?

A. The main area that I taught and helped develop curriculum was in genre, genre studies and that included international film, that included the way genres morphed and changed like *Star Wars* trilogy is based on the Western in many respects, the formula.

Interaction would also occur when students were preparing papers. Many of them were -- and also especially applying to go on to grad school. I was an advisor much of the time and you would talk to students about a range of issues, why they were going to drop a course, if they were going to drop from school mainly because of personal family issues; whether or not they should go on to school.

Some students also wanted to know if any material was going to be controversial. And it's surprising how many students have different kinds of anxieties over films. Some people do not like violence of any sort. They have a physical reaction. And it's the rule of the University that if you have problematic material or you have material that will be controversial that you advise students in advance. As a result, my syllabus, and it's de rigueur to have this on every syllabus for almost all faculty that subject matter in the course may not be appropriate for all individuals. If you wish to not see a particular film,

et cetera, that is your decision.

- **Q**. Now, did you teach the students in these introductory courses certain things about how films should be viewed, appreciated, how they're produced, things like that?
- A. It's interesting because after so many years of teaching film, I know I have a reputation of having a good sense of humor, that I show movies that offer different kind of story-telling, narrative, mainly international and that students feel challenged. They know in advance that it's not a time for them to come in, eat popcorn and just wave their hands at a screen.
- **Q**. Give us some examples of what you talked to them about the technique of film.
- A. Okay. The first question I ask students when I've shown a film, I ask them what did you see. That really gives pause. And many of them will say, well, I saw this happening. I say, well, yes. Somebody said, well, I noticed this. There was an element of tension because in the very first scenes there was something ominous about the sound track. Or somebody would say, I saw something that was in the background that kind of bothered me. I thought maybe it was going to be a clue.

Everybody comes to films with what I call visual

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

literacy. Probably most of us, if not all of us, our first babysitters were televisions and we've grown up knowing some of the grammar, what we call the grammar of film, how gestures have a long history behind them. How a camera works. A camera can be very, very tricky in certain kinds of shots. Actually, I do this all the Specific to a film, I'll have a list of things that I want them to be on the look for. And this is routine in any course. We call it viewing cues where students are going to be in a Literature course, which I also teach, we ask them to be aware, be mindful of how certain kinds of things are happening. How do they recognize suspense, for example, in a film. you watch a film, say, by Hitchcock, who's known for tricking his audiences, having little clues hidden that people go for like a dog for a tree. But they're false lures.

Some movies are going to have reversal of some expectations. Some movies will also have surprises. And moreover, the one thing that I think my students get exposed to more in my courses than in many others is that I have so much background in international film and so I have students who see movies with subtitles for the first time.

Q. Have you had feedback from students as they're

taking your courses on how their perception of film changes?

- A. Well, yes. One student, I had her in several classes. She said her friends don't even like going to the movies anymore with her because she knows how they're working, and they'll go out maybe for a beer or something afterwards and she's almost ruined their viewing experience because she's told them where it could have been better.
- **Q**. Have students told you that how they perceive movies has changed as a result of what they've learned in your course?
- A. Some students have a large background. Many of my students also make films. One of my best students got a junior nomination for an Oscar in Category 1 and that's unheard of. He was 29. The students that seem to be affected most by films are those who have not gotten out of the box. They have maybe never seen a Western. They've never seen an international film. Some of them are -- and of course, they are inevitably surprised and most of the time for the better. They say, I had no idea that this kind of film had been made.

Every time I've taught comedy genre mixed with a comedy of gangster films, Marilyn Monroe and "Some Like

It Hot," which is such a funny film. Several things happen to students over the years that I've taught that film. For many of them, it's the first time they've ever seen a black-and-white film through from start to finish. And I'm used to the phrase now, "I didn't know that they could comedy back then like that."

- **Q**. Have you taught them things about how the camera is used in a film?
- A. This always comes as a surprise, because many students who are not interested in the technology of the techniques of filmmaking, they expect that it will be dull. They are self-surprised when they get the knack. Pretty soon they're showing us, they're showing me how to look at something new because they are so aware of how a camera and lighting operate so many meanings in the film.

The biggest obstacle that I have to face and anybody who's teaching film or for that matter literature courses is when you ask what's the film about, many students at first will give you a plot summary. No. That's not what this movie is about. Why don't you tell me how you think the lighting is working in this film. And then they start to think how does a camera action help structure your feelings and your sense of interpretation.

For example, a camera may track something, track somebody, and it will come in for a zoom or pull away. When it comes in for a zoom, maybe you see something going on that the camera wants you to see but you would not have noticed but the camera can highlight it.

There's also things like lighting, top lighting. Lighting can be used in a dramatic kind of way as well. And music, soundtracks. One director famously said once, "In a film, the music always knows what's going to happen next."

So students learn how to adjust the rates of what they're seeing, the levels of how they're understanding is incrementally being guided and structured. Or trouble. I mean, they can have expectations overturned in the process of viewing.

After they get a hang of it, they become really in their own way experts. They are so aware of what we call cinematography, how color can work and/or not work, and how other kinds of foreshadowing or foregrounding, other ways of giving hints of a memory that's being repressed. All of these can be done not necessarily through narrative and/or talking or interaction for that matter. It can be done by a look or things can be done suggestively again with editing. Somebody hears something and they drop something and

then the camera goes right away to the floor, and you know you're being manipulated, you know you're being jerked around but then that's part of the experience of seeing a film and to understand that you're giving yourself to the activity of seeing a story being told and that you've become part of the participation of the meaning.

- **Q**. Is one of the things that you teach about how things like implements and objects are used in the production of a film?
- A. Not only in film. The comedy, comedy central in theater and in history of specific genres people are given a prop. That's the technical term, a prop. And you spontaneously start doing something with that prop. And it's a way that actors can show their imagination on display with spontaneity. I've seen people who are just aces. Give them a hat, and at the end of three minutes they have done so many things with that hat it should stretch the imagination, and it does.

Some people can do unbelievable things with a chair. Especially -- I was in Europe and I saw somebody in this kind of exercise given a chair and this person was also a gymnast, and it brought down the house what he could do on a single chair.

So props can be measures of invention. They can

move a plot. They can create tension. An object or say like tape or a ukulele, or as I mentioned a hat, there's -- you can turn it into a way of altering a variety of characters. If you have a hat, for example, you can put it on one kind of way, you can mock it, you can drill it in your hands. You can be campy. You can turn it into an object of derision. You can hold it in front of you and just start making faces at it.

- **Q**. Now, in your experience, do students improve their appreciation of these types of things as they study them in your courses?
- A. I can't think maybe a tiny handful of people who do not walk out -- not only in my film courses but -- and I was Chair for three years of the Film Studies program at URI. And during that period, the major grew three-fold. It was the most popular major. It got lots of people changing major. It's an unbelievable double major as well. Some people come to film study with different motivations. Many of them are wanting to just get more background, more savvy with the different kind of pictorial styles, the different kinds of ways telling a story which is manifested through especially in Europe and Chinese film-making. There's also people who enjoy courses like film because they literally make them see the world differently.

Film is justifiably called the most popular art in history. Film is the most popular art on the planet. Just as one example, India is the world's second largest filmmaker, its market audience guaranteed is 60 million. It's southeast Asia. So film -- just one thing I believe, and I think most people in the University do, too, it's the one medium that regardless of nationality, regardless of background or situation, it's one medium, despite different vernaculars, different ways of working with style and even equipment that everybody on this planet has in common.

- **Q**. And do students improve their ability to appreciate film when they learn about some of the different things you describe?
- A. You know, one of the things that astonished me, it never stopped astonishing me and it always made me happy was how many times how many students would often say that they see film absolutely differently, that it has changed the way that they experience the meaning of their lives. They're much more tuned in to things like visual literacy as well as film literacy. They're so aware of how, say, a political campaign can be staged. They understand how acting and how elements can be juxtaposed to blowup certain kinds of meanings. They

can get that just by watching the film *Citizen Kane* as well. That's one thing they learn.

THE COURT: Professor Leo, I have to interrupt you a little bit. I'm enjoying your testimony a lot because I feel like I'm in a seminar on film, and I like films, but we need to get through this process. So what I need you to do is really focus on the questions Mr. Mann is asking you and then try to answer them as succinctly as you can because he's just on background right now, and he hasn't even gotten to anything that focuses on the proceeding before us, and we only have a limited amount of time to do this. So try to just listen carefully and answer succinctly, if you could.

THE WITNESS: I will.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.

- **Q.** Now, you reviewed all the video that are the basis for the indictment in this case, didn't you?
- A. Yes, in detail and took notes.
- Q. And you have detailed notes as to all these videos, don't you?
 - A. I'm sorry?

- Q. You have detailed notes as to what you viewed; is that fair to say?
- **A**. I didn't --

- Q. You have detailed notes?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Can you give us some examples of how the technical aspects of film that you discuss enhance your understanding of the films that you viewed utilizing the things you just covered in terms of what you teach your students.
- I'll give one example that is -- it's a light motif from one video to another. It's maybe the most common one. The scenes that involve eating, they exhibit everything that I've been talking about. There'll be a long shot. There might be a shot establishing a setting and you'll get close-ups and you get the activity of how significant, say, an extreme close-up can be. A kid will be eating and it's mocking, the camera is catching all that. Thev're iust kind of shoving food into their mouths, and the camera comes in on a slow zoom more than once on people. face fills the entire frame, and you see food and drool just coming out of their mouths and the kids are frequently just doing this on purpose. They're hamming And the activity of the camera because it can go, it can also give you reactions to that scene with some of the other boys that are operating in it. that food shows what is done with food. It's almost

like a prop itself.

- **Q**. Were there scenes where the use of objects enhanced your understanding of what was going on?
- A. One of the most notable examples that grabbed my attention was about a half dozen of the boys were in a setting in the woods and they started pulling out equipment, looked like wooden swords that had aluminum foil wrapped around them. They also then would just start getting into what I would just call horseplay, mock sword fights and mocking postures that seem to be coming right out of a film about the Middle Ages.

Maybe an even more significant thing that they could do would be with balloons. There were so many scenes where they would be jumping in and out of a pool and they would have balloons and they would just fill them up with water or just blow them up, then they'd see something else and they'd grab something else and they'd be tossing it. These were everyday things that you would find around a pool. Something that would float and they turned it into an object of spontaneous play and they seemed to be inventing the rules of the game as that went on.

Q. Did you make any notes about how -- first, did you observe who the actors were in these films? They were mostly young boys, weren't they?

- A. Yes. In fact, in that one part where they were speaking -- sounded more Russian to me than Ukrainian, they were asked their ages. And one said (speaks Russian) meaning 12. So they ranged in age. I would say the youngest would be probably around 5 or 6 and the oldest I would think 16, and this is taking into account that the muscle mass in Central and Eastern Europe is a little bit different than in other countries.
- **Q**. Did you see how these different actors interacted among themselves in some of these videos?
- A. This was one thing that surprised me. For one thing, most of the boys were -- they weren't all in the same videos, but they had the characteristic of what we would call ensemble acting. They knew each other. Their interaction to me, no matter what the setting, for example, one movie had four boys in a cabin or in a room in a train. And they are just spontaneously doing things like playing Patty-Cake Polka or rock-covers-stone or scissors-cuts-papers. And they'll do other kinds of hand games that they're working really, really fast. Other times I would notice that some of the older guys were showing some of the younger ones how to jump into this pool, sauna, actually. The whole setting was a sauna. And a genuine sense of

caring. I was really struck even in the horseplay how much they were laughing, and this was not rehearsed.

You could see -- and the camera is going everywhere just trying to follow them.

They would also interact gymnastically. I was astonished at their level of gymnastical skills. They could do things that you would expect to see young kids doing, although semi-professionally, and these would be at the beach. And again, they would interact. There would be some games, some activities called for more contact or interplay.

- Q. Did you observe -- were you able to observe from the film work whether or not when the boys were dressing and undressing, what the boys were looking at when they were engaging in either dressing or undressing?
- A. That's an interesting question because there were multiple scenes throughout all the videos when the boys would be dressing and undressing, and I was struck that they would be, for example, getting dressed and just putting their clothes on. They wouldn't even be looking at each other. They were so absolutely non-self-conscious about disrobing, period. And for that matter, I was also struck by how -- there was no visual cruising among the kids themselves. There was

They weren't hitting on each other. There was no seducing. There was nothing indicating any sexualization of any actions that I could see. And there was a lot of interaction, but it was always play and sometimes very skillful play.

I want to go a little bit farther. The one time that there was an undressing and a dressing scene had me laughing because it was so campy. These guys also showed the use of props. There were some beads around there so they were putting on beads. They were doing little girly things. They were spoofing something like drag queens. They would be making hand gestures, like that, and exaggerating -- a parody, actually, of feminine gestures in movement. And in that one and the same time, they were mocking themselves. They'd be looking at each other, pointing, laughing.

The one thing that struck me in those kinds of scenes was that they were so self-consciously aware of acting. They were performing in those kind of what I would call little boy drag scenes. And they were whooping it up. They were laughing.

- **Q**. Do you teach your students about settings?
- A. About what?

Q. Settings for film?

A. Settings are the foundation of -- what is one of the anchors in any kind of film study is something that's called the Mise-en Scent, the structure of a setting because everything has to be just so. It has to be precise. It will emphasize the spacial relations of the actors, the characters in that scene, and it can also become very dramatic in its own way.

The settings were interior. They were exterior.

- **Q**. Let me interrupt for a second. Are you now talking about the settings in the movies that you reviewed?
- A. Yes.

- **Q**. Can you tell us about how those settings informed your interpretation of the films?
- A. Generally and across the board, settings were either interior or exterior. They were either inside what appeared to be an apartment or a sauna. The reason you could see that is that when there would be scene changes, the camera would track some of the boys, and I was realizing the architectural nature of the sauna. There were showers, there was a pool, there was a recreation area with couches and different activities would go, and sometimes there would be a little bit of an overlap. On the left-hand side of the frame, you might see some of the guys in the pool and the shot was

shot in such a way that you could see the hallway on the right rear entryway into the showers and at the far end of the hallway was what I would call a recreation room.

So the settings created a fluidity for spacializing different activities and movement, and also they could become the occasion for maybe a ten-minute scene where they were just wrestling around like tying each other up. And it's all spontaneous. They're horseplaying. They're throwing things at each other. And one of them was sitting there just trying to watch TV.

- **Q**. Did you notice whether the settings were in public or private places?
- A. The other settings were exterior, what I would call the exterior ones, I would say 50 percent -- probably like 80 percent of those were urban. They were outside in, say, small parks and/or on the street. One looked like it was almost like a playground that was encased with a wooden fence in a meadow. It was hard to say whether that was a larger park in a city or maybe on the outskirts of town.

The urban shots were extraordinary. The guys would ham it up even walking. A camera would be looking at them coming towards you, and there would be

another camera tracking them from behind and that would be something that would strike an observer when you're watching a film and you see this group of boys walking towards you, it's not an unusual kind of way to set up a shot but it emphasizes the nature of the street, because the street is now creating a -- guys are at an angle like a football scene. They're like a wedge.

The beach scenes, there were many, many beach scenes. And one scene was at an amusement park, but it was a sizable one. And what struck me is that these were all public venues. The one that was inside of a fairly large aquaplex, the pool was an olympic size pool with three diving boards, diving spots. And the guys would be -- and those were some complex scenes, too, because there would be activity going on in front of you on the side of the pool and in the background probably at least 50, 60 feet away there would be the boys jumping off -- some of the boys jumping off different heights of the diving boards.

The other scenes, there was one in a park, and also in the same pool scenes, by the way, people were walking publicly by them. The guys might be doing something and people, adults were just walking by indifferently. I mean, it's like they weren't there.

And the same with some of the other scenes where

they were on an outdoor pool in a park, and there would be all kinds of people, families, et cetera, around them. You saw a lot of families with kids.

And the other exterior scenes, some were more isolated, very beautiful beaches. That was one thing that was given as a disclaimer for all the films, that you could see naturalism in performance at some of the most beautiful beaches in Ukraine and other places in Eastern Europe.

Finally, there was one park scene and the camera was on outside of the park for the most part. And there was a grill. I was just dumbfounded. It was one of the most skillful demonstrations of just spontaneous what I would call gymnastics. Their sense of balance. I saw one hopping backwards. There was a big rubber tire in one of these playgrounds, and this guy stepped -- he'd have one foot on one part and he'd jump back and landed perfectly balanced on his other foot on the other side of the tire. And he jumped not looking back, not looking over his shoulder. He jumped and hopped backwards.

I've had therapy for vertigo and that's one thing that you can get very dizzy on even if you don't have a spinning brain. Keeping agility and which was on exhibit all the time.

- **Q**. You've studied a lot of films that have some sexual content; is that fair to say?
 - A. Yeah. Let's see.
- **Q**. We don't need a list for this hearing.
- A. Oh, I remember --
- Q. Professor Leo, we don't need a list for thishearing. Okay?
 - **A**. Okay.

- Q. Let me just turn to my question now. Okay?
- A. Yes. I saw my first films when I was a kid.
 - Q. Let me turn to a question now based on that.

Did you observe in the films that you viewed -we're always talking about these videos that are the
basis of this indictment. Did you observe whether or
not -- you used the word "cruising." First let's
define "cruising." What did you mean when you used the
word "cruising"?

- A. Cruising is more like a gay slang term but it's used in all kinds of -- cruising is when somebody seems to be out looking to hit on somebody or to make contact, maybe pick somebody up. So it's not strictly something that exists in a kind of gay culture. It's common.
- Q. And you said you didn't see cruising, right?
- A. There was none. And I was on the watch. I mean,

I was looking to see any kind of any activity that could be described as sexualized or having a sexual outcome as the intent of that action. There was no flirting. If anything, all you saw were these hammy, cheesy, laughing kids playing. And the closest thing that would even resemble something, paying attention to say a butt, would be to hit somebody with a towel, and that's not sex. That's not seduction. There was virtually not anything that I would call having a sexual motivation or expectation.

Q. Did you observe -- let me back up.

You've talked a little bit about the angle of a camera. What is the importance of the angle of the camera?

A. There's so much power in how a camera and how a frame can be shot and the position of the camera. It's common in film if you have a camera at a low angle and you're filming a body, the body looks large. It looks monumental. And that's frequent. Hitler knew that when the Olympics were filmed.

The camera angles sometimes were tilted and they would be tilted on tracking. And that sometimes would go on especially where there was activity that was requiring a lot of movement, what I call the constant horsing around.

- **Q**. How was the angle important to your understanding of these films?
- A. It was important because it -- imagine what I mean. This would be the frame. A frame fills the space that you see on the screen. When the camera is pulled back, you see a lot of the action and that allows you to see -- and if the boys are moving, the camera can track them going around, if they're going in a circular way. The camera can go in on a zoom so you can see close-up how they might be wrestling or pushing or playing with something, working with a prop.

And also in some of the -- in the food scenes, the camera could also get down -- well, one of the food scenes had one of the fellows just doing things that were just, you know, third grade gross with cupcakes, stick them on his head. He would put cupcakes on his chest, on his legs. And on one occasion -- not one occasion, several times put one or more cupcakes on the seat of a bicycle, and then he would try and sit on the seat of the bicycle. And all the other guys are just laughing. He was also on the ground rolling in some of the cupcakes. So the camera got down low and so you could see -- actually, if anybody else is like me, wanting to roll around in sticky frosting is not my idea of wanting to have a time to laugh. That's what

they would be doing, just rolling around and in a grossly funny juvenile kind of way turning their bodies into objects of humor and derision for each other, and the camera was catching that. The camera would come in on these cupcake scenes and you saw the body, chocolate and frosting close-up.

- **Q**. Does your study in film include studying what the role of the audience is in the film?
- A. That is one of the biggest things in the study of film. I've been asked, well, what goes on in film studies. You learn genre, you learn different kinds of things about lighting, camera work and stuff, but you also start paying attention to the nature of your audience and you are -- and you realize that you're going to be playing, maybe, I would imagine that, I'm thinking of different kinds of films, anybody who's making a film the whole genre of children's films, Disney, et cetera, how do you imagine an audience? What is the age level that you're targeting to be impressed by this particular film?
- **Q**. Did you see in these film that you viewed how the camera was taking into account the audience?
- A. Taking into account what?
- **Q**. Did you see in the films that you viewed how the camera took into account the audience?

A. Yes. Yes. One was that phalanx shot that I've already described where the kids are coming towards you. That is -- in film parlance, that is like a direct invasion of audience space. Not invasion in a hostile kind of way, but it would be that they know that the effect of that shot will have an audience going like this, or that it's something that in terms of movie seeing there will be a physiology attached to that kind of scene and you're going to be reacting to that kind of activity.

Another way that audiences have to be imagined, especially in international filmmaking, are the demographics, what age groups. Are there certain story-telling traditions that might be more relevant to one national film culture as opposed to another. There might be different ways in which certain kinds of scenes have resonance because they're used more commonly in certain kinds of story-telling methods. Audiences are just an incredible part of film studies.

- **Q.** Is there anything else in particular that you want to remark on that informed you about your understanding of these films?
- A. May I take a look at my notes? I have all kinds of things down here. I've already mentioned the hamming up and the architectural role of some of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

settings, the parks. I guess the one big item that I took away was the element of spontaneity, which is awfully hard to sustain. And even if you have -- even if you're told -- and this is not something that is uncommon, especially if people are taking acting courses. You say you have five minutes and in five minutes I want you to do X number of moves three times, and you go from this side of the room to that side of the room. That might be a general instruction. ability to do something inventive in that kind of space even with a general formula, you're going to do A, B and C, I was struck time and again by the energy and the relentless -- I mean, these kids would drop one kind of activity in the same movie and immediately pick up another prop and start doing something else. you can see when something is rehearsed and when it's There wasn't rehearsal here. They might have done something like this many times, but every time you could see how unpredictable it was. And the reason I can say that was, again, the use of the camera. camera can pull back and you can see different areas that are constantly shifting, the distribution of the boys across the frame within a setting, and then the camera would then go in for a zoom so you'd have a localization within the frame and you know that other

stuff is still going on. And their ability just to change and to invent rules were astonishing. That's the main thing I took away, the playfulness, the inventiveness and the boysiness.

- Q. You mentioned zooming. What is zooming?
- A. A zoom shot -- it can be a slow zoom. Zoom is when the camera comes in, it comes in close on a topic or a subject. Maybe one way that it conveys a lot of emotion is that when there's the close-up of a face. That's considered intimate, that you have a close-up of a face you're being given maybe an insight into the psychology of that character. But a zoom is the camera is active. It's taking your sight. It's forcibly drawing your attention from one area to another, or it's making you focussed on one area or another.
- **Q**. Now, you observed in the films you saw nudity including lots of boys penises and their pubic area, right?
- A. Yes.

- **Q**. Did you observe zooming in focusing only on the penile area or the pubic area?
- A. No. I would not say that -- no, there was not zooming that I can recall. In fact, there was very little camera attention paid, period, to anything like genitals or pubic area. At the most I saw, the most I

saw something resembling even that kind of attention would be when the camera was panning. And a panning shot is when a camera is going across a scene. It's not stabilized. That would be a stationary shot. But a camera is panning, and there might be activity going on there. The camera might linger for a moment over the buttocks but not zoom, not focus. It would go on. But the camera would do that also to a face. There was an equal distribution of a little slight slowing down of the camera, but not many.

- Q. Could I just show you, Professor, in the interest of time, exhibit -- let me first show you exhibit -- I'll show you an exhibit about the Calvin Klein ad. You've seen that before, haven't you?
- A. Yes.

- **Q**. How does that exhibit affect your understanding of these films?
- **A**. This -- first off --
- Q. First, can you describe the exhibit very briefly, just very briefly.
 - A. The first shot here?
 - Q. No. The whole exhibit.
 - A. This is such an item of common discussion in any kind of film course, the role of bodies increasingly in advertising, which is always in our faces. And it's a

truism in Advertising 101, sex sells. That's why you see -- what do buxom women sitting or leaning over a car have to do with a car? Nothing except the sale price. Merchandise that moves because this is, again, a truism. People want to have good feelings about something and one way that you could have good feelings about something is throw all kinds of bodies at products. When you see people eating, late night ads always are focused on food. Much of the time anyway.

I saw in these movies that was nearly as focused on the shear physical nature of bodies as I've seen in Calvin Klein ads, which are almost jokes among men and women. The Calvin Klein ads for ten years have been icons in the gay world, gay iconography, Calvin Klein is a staple, but a lot of women also are just struck by how beautiful some of these men are. And they'll talk.

And even shopping bags. If anybody has seen Abercrombie and Fitch, Abercrombie and Fitch would be in some respects considered pornographic. One shopping bag -- I mean, you see them in the mall, a male profile and probably maybe early teens and the bottom of the bag is just obviously a shaved pubic area because that camera goes as far as it can without showing a penis. And that's a shopping bag.

So that's a long way from -- has everybody seen these? Should I hold any up?

Q. The Government has these, and the Court has these.

My question to you is, I think you've answered it, if you talk about sexuality, how would you compare the sexuality in the movies that you saw with the sexuality in those pictures?

A. In these pictures, this is more like a film. He is -- she's on her knees and he's sitting down, and she's actually sitting on his crotch and they're hugging. I would say this is -- you could call this a sexual shot. It's certainly intended to be seen as something that is appealing. It's something that you're being invited to experience as imaginatively as you can, the texture of these bodies as if you were in either of those positions.

In terms of the films, there's nothing like this. There's not -- these ads in some respects or these pictures suggest intimacy. Here's one. They're off balance. And you can see that it's Escape. And it's the angle of that one means that it's inviting them to fall down.

- **Q**. Can you briefly describe the photograph you're referring to for the record.
- A. Yes.

- **Q.** Can you just briefly describe it. Oh, it's the one that's labeled "Escape."
- A. Okay. This photograph of "Escape." The man has his arm against the wall. They're tilted so the image is already showing a state of imbalance. We would say that what's implicit in this shot is more activity, even if it means falling. The forces of gravity are going to be at work here. They're between two walls, and she's -- he's pushing to kind of hold up. The shot here has him balancing his body while he's leaning backwards, and she isn't holding onto anything except him. So the impress of her body weight is on him. And she's also prepared, they're both in a way almost going to fall. It's going to be a hard position to sustain.
- **Q**. Would it be fair to say, Professor Leo, that you're now submitting the other pictures would be somewhat similar except the facts would be different?
- A. Yeah. Here's one.

- **Q**. No. Professor Leo, all I'm asking is can you just describe one picture as one having sexual messages?
- A. Let's say that the picture is inviting to be seen as a sexualized picture.
- **Q.** My question to you is simply this. Would your analysis of many of the other pictures be similar except the actual poses would be a little bit

different?

A. Yes.

- Q. That's all we really need on that, because I think we really want to move on. Okay?
 - A. Let me just add this. Pictures of some are seductive. In other words, there might be a single person looking out at the viewer. And this would be what I would call like a come-on shot. This one would be I'd like to hit on you. This one. There's no other person. Obsession. And she's looking, eyes direct. And your eye would be eye-line with hers.
 - **Q**. Let me just briefly show you, there are two CVs we've introduced. You prepared both of those and they're accurate, aren't they?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. We've made one correction. There's a document called "Summary of Expert Testimony of John Leo." You've seen this, right?
- **A**. Yes.
 - **Q**. And in the interest of time, is this summary an accurate summary? It doesn't include everything, but it's an accurate summary of your testimony?
 - A. Yes. It's very accurate.
- Q. Thank you. And you testified briefly you have detailed notes of what you saw, right? You have

detailed notes of the videos you saw?

A. Yes.

- **Q**. And with those detailed notes, could you provide a description, I'm not asking you to give us the description, but would you be able to give us a description of the different videos and images that you saw?
- A. Yes.
- **Q**. All I really need to know is if you could give that description.
- A. I can just show you very quickly that there are a lot of notes, and some of the films --
- Q. I think all we need to know is if you could do that. Okay?
- A. Well, we tracked some of them so we knew exactly where we were in the running time. Others we couldn't.
- **Q**. I don't think we need to go through the whole description right now.

THE COURT: No. I don't think we do.

MR. MANN: Unless the Court wants more for the record, I think I've established what the testimony would be, Judge. We haven't gone through chapter and verse of the summary obviously. It includes all his opinions. I can go through all of that with the Court, but it seems to me it's in the record right now. If

the Court wants to give me the time, I'm happy to go through it.

THE COURT: Well, you're in control of the presentation so I want you to do what you feel you need to do, but if you're done with the witness, we'll let Mr. Donnelly inquire.

MR. MANN: Could I have just a moment? (Pause.)

MR. MANN: My only concern is, Judge, he has testified this is an accurate summary of his testimony, Judge, and that summary includes his opinions on a number of issues.

MR. DONNELLY: If it makes any difference, your Honor, the Government is satisfied with Mr. Mann putting forward the summary as to -- as an accurate summary of what Professor Leo if allowed to testify would say to the jury. I think the record is covered. I'm not going to argue otherwise.

MR. MANN: I'm satisfied, and I have nothing further.

THE COURT: That's fine. So let's turn it over to Mr. Donnelly.

MR. MANN: May I have just one moment to speak to my client?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Pause.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. MANN: I'm ready to turn it over to

Mr. Donnelly. Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DONNELLY

- Q. Good afternoon, Professor Leo.
- A. Good afternoon.
- **Q**. Get the crass part out of the way, I assume you're being compensated for all the work you've done? You've spent hours and hours on this case; is that correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. May I ask how much you're being paid?
 - **A**. You mean the dollar amount?
- 13 **Q**. Yes.
- A. I've so far been paid \$2500, and I'd say that
 would be, I don't know, 50 or more hours of viewing and
 consultation, reading.
 - Q. Are you charging an out-of-court consultation rate or hourly rate?
 - A. I'm not charging. I'm just doing this almost out of curiosity and interest.
 - **Q**. Why have you gotten \$2500? How did that come about?
- A. Well, Mr. Mann and I -- he said he would like to
 be able to get me some money for what I'm doing, and I
 said that's fine. But we didn't even discuss an actual

1 figure.

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- **Q**. And not an hourly figure?
- **A**. No.
- Q. You plan on invoicing him for further hours once this is all done?
 - A. Something funny happens to a brain when you retire, you know. I have enjoyed this, working with Bob and also just an interesting topic.
 - **Q**. Is that a yes or no? I asked you if you're going to ask him for more money when this is all over. Is that yes or no or you don't know?
 - A. You know, I haven't even thought of that.
- Q. Professor Leo, have you ever testified in anycourt before as an expert?
- 15 **A**. No.
 - Q. So this is a first-time experience for you.
 - A. When I was an undergraduate, I was frequently brought in as a mock witness at the law school trials.
 - **Q**. Have you ever in the course of your professional career worked with law enforcement in any capacity?
 - A. No.
 - Q. I saw some of the items that Mr. Mann had you review prior to coming here today. Some of those were legal materials I think relating to the definition of child pornography; is that correct?

A. Yes.

- **Q**. Prior to that, did you have any knowledge about the laws on child pornography in this country?
- A. Well, yes. I've also published on Robert

 Maplethorpe. I've published on other aspects of
 pornography. And it's part of a research component.

 Inevitably, I've done research in gender studies,
 specifically gay/lesbian studies, things of that sort
 where charges of pornography were a lot more frequent
 just in the last decade or two.
- **Q**. Do you have a particular thesis or belief about the validity of the child pornography laws?
- A. From my perspective, and with regard to child pornography, one thing that I'm struck by is that it's not relatable to other kinds of definitions of pornography. It's -- I know that it's a very restricted area, but other than how it's specifically argued, the only experience I've come across is the literature that I've read. It's not an actual area for me for specialization. It's part of a general area that I have. In fact, I write books and do stuff on film, and pornography is inevitably a genre.
- **Q**. Pornography involving adults?
- A. Duke University Press has a journal out there called "Porn Studies." Porn regardless of what people

- think about it as a behavior or as an attitude or as a cultural experience or a product, it is legitimate from an academic point of view to be examined, critiqued, appraised, evaluated, how it's produced, who it affects and so forth. It's a legitimate area for film research.
- **Q**. Would you consider pornography to be an expertise of yours?
- A. In the sense that it's in the field of genre studies and gender studies, I would say it's part of my expertise.
- **Q**. Have you written on pornography? When I use the word "pornography," I'm referring to pornography where the subjects are adults.
- A. I didn't hear.
 - Q. Have you written on adult pornography?
- **A.** Yes.

- **Q**. Is that in the Duke journal or --
- A. I was invited to be a guest lecturer, humanities
 lecturer at the University of Pittsburgh. That was
 several years ago. I've had --
 - **Q**. I guess my question was have you written on it, and your answer is, yes, I wrote for the University of Pittsburgh?
- 25 A. No. I'm just thinking that in academic terms if

you've given a lecture, that's a publication. One of my publications proper was on gay male depiction in American television melodrama, which was published in the Journal of South Atlantic Quarterly. That was also republished in a book put out by Duke University Press called "Displacing Homophobia." And --

- **Q**. Have you studied, for lack of a better term, phenomenon or movement known as nudism or naturism?
- A. Movement?

- **Q**. I don't know what to call it. You testified about naturists on your direct examination, and there are people who believe in that as a lifestyle; is that fair to say?
- A. On the topic itself of nudity, naturalism, nudism, no, I have not written on that as a topic inasmuch as it's an attitude or a social movement or a phenomenon that affects how people perceive human bodies, human bodies is a major area of my concern and research. So nudism per se precisely, no. But on how physicality is measured, how it's perceived, how it's evaluated differently among different cultures is an area of my expertise.
- **Q**. Are you a part of any nudist or naturist groups or organizations?
- A. No.

Q. I want to show you if I could some news articles that I've had marked as Government's Exhibits 1, 2, 3 and 4, and I just had one question for you about these Professor Leo, and that is have you seen any of those before?

THE COURT: Let's mark them something else since these are 1 through 5. Let's make them A through D.

MR. DONNELLY: Sure.

THE COURT: Are there four or five?

MR. DONNELLY: Just four, your Honor.

(Government Exhibits A through D admitted for

ID.)

- **Q**. Just showing you Government's A through D, can you just look at the headlines. Look through them.
- They're stapled together. I represent to you they're news articles I printed off the Internet. Can you just tell me whether or not you've seen them?
 - A. Actually, I do know about this bust because it's been in the news a lot. The Toronto Star. Yes, I'm aware of that. Let's see this other one here.
 - **Q**. You're looking at B?
 - A. This is Exhibit B and this is from the Star, I guess, also, fairly recently. This hit the news, I think, child pornographer's letter to his victim.

Some of the stuff I've heard on national public

radio and other venues.

This Exhibit C, "Child Porn Bust, How One Man Seduced an Entire Village," I think I've seen this referenced or heard this being referenced in the Star. The Star actually had a series of a lot of coverage on this, and I was -- and that was my sole exposure to it. The Globe and Mail, this is Exhibit D, "348 People Arrested in Child Porn Case Connected to a Canadian Firm." And it's focused on Azov Films rake in 4 million and I guess --

- **Q**. Have you read any of these articles that you can recall?
- A. No, not these in particular. I read maybe a week or so ago there was an article in the Star that I had heard about on radio except that I have not read the whole -- the whole bucket I have not read.
- MR. DONNELLY: Your Honor, for purposes of this hearing I would move these exhibits full.

THE COURT: Any objection, Mr. Mann?

MR. MANN: Not for this hearing, no.

THE COURT: All right. Those will be full, A through D.

(Government Exhibits A through D admitted in full.)

Q. So Professor Leo, it seems to me you've studied

the cultural role and presence of adult pornography, but would it be fair to say that -- do you know much about the world of child pornography?

- A. Actually, yes. I've been following the whole career of Sally Mann, who is a prominent figure and very controversial. Also, I've given seminars and papers on Robert Maplethorpe and that's going back several years. What they have in common, of course, is that they're photographers and award-winning photographers. I think it was Time magazine that said of Sally Mann that she's America's most important photographer. And I've heard her here at RISD last year.
- **Q**. Does Sally Mann's work depict adults having sex with children and that sort of thing?
- A. I've never seen anything that I would call sex between an adult and children.
- **Q**. Are you aware that there is an underground economy in the production, distribution and possession of images and videos that depict children engaged in sexually explicit conduct?
- A. Yes. I'm aware that that exists. I'm also aware that pornography is a multi-billion dollar industry.
- Q. We want you to be very careful here, Professor.
 I'm not referring to adult pornography. We're talking

- about pornography that involves minors. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.

- **Q**. Okay. And I'm trying to find out what you know about that, what you know about that world outside of Sally Mann and Robert Maplethorpe.
- A. In terms of specific details, how that underground economy works, all I know about it is stuff that's generally referenced in the context of research articles on pornography. I do not know specifically a lot about that field. And what I do know, again, is general in the context of the whole world of porn, including visual images of all sorts, pictures.
- **Q**. Have you ever studied or read scholarly articles or know anything about how adults groom young children to either appear in sexually explicit videos or to engage in sexual activity with adults?
- A. Studied?
- Q. Do you know anything about that, the grooming of young children?
- 21 A. Very little.
- **Q**. Do you believe it happens?
- 23 A. Oh, I know it happens. Again, if I can elaborate.
 - **Q**. I'm not asking you to elaborate right now.
- 25 Mr. Mann can take care of that on redirect examination.

MR. MANN: I think he's got to be allowed to explain his answer.

THE COURT: You can deal with it on redirect.

I'm not sure I see the relevance of this, but I'm going to ask some questions of Mr. Donnelly later about that.

Go ahead.

- **Q**. Let me ask you some questions about this particular case. We just talked about some news articles that concern Azov Films, right?
- A. (Witness nods head in the affirmative.)
- **Q**. You have to speak your answer for the stenographer.

Do you know that? We just spoke about some articles regarding Azov Films, correct?

- A. I saw the name, Azov, in one of the articles.
- **Q**. And you know what the connection of Azov Films is to this case involving this Defendant, Jerry Silva?
- A. Just from the very general stuff I've gleaned from the Star. And also, with regard to Azov Films, I've looked at a number of catalogs as part of the materials that your office has. I know the nature of what they do.
- **Q**. Okay. Before coming here today, besides knowing the nature of it, did you take any active steps to research Azov Films as a film distributor?

A. Actually, I did. When we were watching the films, I made notes of like Peter P Productions. I made notes of everything else that I found on the covers, the covers for the DVDs. I looked up and then I tracked when I was looking through the evidence on the other seized materials, including the box of DVDs having nothing to do with Azov Films, but also the catalogs.

What I did was I did research and a little bit on how the distribution came to be. And I didn't follow through a lot on that, but I did note that at one point the distribution arm as Azov was separated from the production part.

- Q. What did you find out about how these films, all the films you watched, what did you find out how they were being produced? In other words, who was filming them? Who were these great cameramen you told us about on direct examination?
- A. If I may correct your statement. I do not think the cameramen are great. In fact, my summary judgment on these films is that they're at the level of home movies.
- **Q**. Okay. There's nothing sophisticated about these movies, was there, the way they were made?
- A. That's a loaded term, and I think it's pretty subjective.

- **Q**. Okay. Well, let me ask you a different way. Do you know who was running Azov Films in Canada? Did you find that out?
- A. No. I made some effort to find out who. I was thinking just trying to find out how much I could about a production company that was involved with this kind of work because it also had in the seized materials, there was evidence that Azov Films put out all kinds of other material that had a world-wide distribution, that they have films out there on families who are nudists or naturists, and I guess that came with the evidence. So I was pursuing that a little bit just to see how extensive the outfit was.
- **Q**. Did you learn about Igor Rusanov, a Russian producer that sent films to Azov?
- A. No. I had notes on some -- that one doesn't ring a bell. I did have notes on Peter P Productions was one that was a distributor but --
- **Q**. Did you know that Mr. Rusanov created camps for these little boys and these boys came from low income homes, and he would have the boys go there at low or no cost and that's where a lot of the filming was done? Did you know that?
- MR. MANN: I know this is a preliminary hearing, but I can't imagine that evidence coming in at a trial.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know if Mr. Donnelly intends to introduce it or not but that's a different question. I think for purposes of this hearing I think the question is appropriate so I'll allow it. Go ahead.

- Q. Whether you knew the involvement of an Igor
 Rusanov -- and that's R-U-S-A-N-O-V -- did you know
 that one of the producers of these films, the actual
 makers of these films had created camps for boys where
 the boys would be there without their parents, without
 their families?
- A. No. The only thing I know about the camps is when they referred as to like the KKK Ranch and material like that. That was with the materials, but I didn't pursue that. Igor Rusanov does not ring a bell with me.
- **Q**. One of the articles I showed you was an article that talked about a child pornographer's letter to his victim, and it's letter from Markus Roth. Had you come across the name Markus Roth in your research on Azov Films?
- A. I wasn't -- I was just trying to get a general sense of how the distribution, how and why, because I was perplexed that if these were really pornographic -- THE COURT: Professor, he's just asked you

1 whether you came across the name of Mr. Roth. Did you 2 ever come across that? 3 THE WITNESS: 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 Q. It's your opinion, as stated in your summary, that 6 you don't think what you saw in these videos amounts to 7 child pornography, correct? 8 I do not think it meets a standard of 9 pornography in any sense. 10 MR. DONNELLY: One moment, please, your Honor. THE COURT: 11 Sure. 12 (Pause.) 13 Q. Do you know why people were buying these movies 14 from Azov Films? 15 You've asked an impossible question, and it's Α. 16 loaded. I don't know why. 17 In your expertise, what are some of the reasons 18 that adult men -- do you know that all the purchasers 19 of these movies were adult men? 20 You're already making a judgment on who bought Α. 21 I do not know who bought them. If I represent to you that the vast majority of 22 Q.

THE COURT: Mr. Donnelly, you asked him do you know why people would buy these films, and he just

the purchasers of the movies were like Defendant --

23

24

- said, "I don't know why people would buy these films."
- **Q**. Do these films seem to have any purpose to you as an expert in the area of film for the purchase of these films than the sexual pleasure of the person purchasing and viewing the film?
- A. I think they have other purposes that are possible, mainly because I looked at the seized evidence and looked at the nature of the catalogs put out by Azov Films, including those that are family-oriented.
- **Q**. Do these films, the ones that you saw that are part of the indictment that Mr. Mann oriented you to, did any of those focus on families?
- A. No.

- **Q**. In fact, you hardly see any adults at all in these films; isn't that correct, Professor?
- A. Yeah. There are maybe three or four instances, including an interview that was a good interview in my estimation; but no, on the other hand, I don't see human beings in the world of Walt Disney often, cartoons.
- **Q**. Do you know -- would it make any difference to your opinion as to whether these images and videos amount to child pornography to know that the boys involved were lied to by the person taking their

pictures, that lies were told to them that the pictures would never be shown to anybody? Would that make a difference to you if the boys were manipulated in that way?

- A. Let me say, first off, the question makes no sense because the videos themselves are memories of -- it struck me that in the promotional materials that were with every video, trailers, that it seemed evident to me that they were meant for distribution and to unknown viewers. I don't know who those viewers would be.
- **Q**. Is it your position that you think the boys knew that?
- A. Maybe it was a wrong impression but that is the impression I had, that these boys knew that their videos were being made and they were playing to the camera.
- Q. Were you aware that Markus Roth, the primary filmer of the Rumanian scenes, was prosecuted by Rumanian authorities and went to prison for two years for making some of the videos you watched? Were you aware of that?
- A. No.

Q. Would that affect your opinion at all about how these films fit into the general sort of accepting culture of European nudism?

- **A.** I took at face value the statement that prefaced every video that we saw that they pass muster with the Canadian Supreme Court and so forth.
- **Q**. What are you referring to? Tell the judge what you're referring to.
- A. There were actually "V Remembered." Let's see. One of the last ones we saw the other day -- I've got them right here. Here we are. "FKK Ranch." "Party Games." "Peter P Productions." There was a bonus disk. And the -- there was prefatory -- a rolling commentary.
- **Q**. Just so I can quickly summarize it for the Court, the rolling commentary says: These are pictures of scenes from naturist and nudist locations. Things along that line; is that correct?
- A. You're mixing the different kind of commentaries. The one that struck me was the one that said that there was nothing wrong with these films or productions in Canada, that they met with all the regulations and were compliant with.
- **Q**. Surely, Professor, if a child pornography video has a preface with printed comment that says this video is legal and has been approved by the highest court in the land, that doesn't necessarily make it legal, does it?

- A. It makes it about as legal as "Any resemblance to anybody living and dead in this film is purely coincidental." It's something that goes with the formula.
- **Q**. Do you know if the parents of these boys who appear in these films knew that they were being asked to strip naked and be videotaped?
- A. Their parents might know it if they were at any of the public scenes.
- **Q**. Do you know one way or another whether or not they were?
- A. No.

- Q. Would it surprise you to know that the parents were not told? And in fact, Mr. Roth, who was arrested in this case was only arrested when he was confronted by two of the boys' fathers who discovered the nude videotaping going on. Would that surprise you?
- A. In light of the way that they were packaged and presented and in light of the fact that there was no sexual content that I could see, I would be surprised.
- **Q**. Would you be surprised if Mr. Roth told the boys if they were ever asked by the police about whether or not they appeared naked in films for him, to lie to the police and tell them that they did not. Would that surprise you?

- A. Well, it surprises me now because I think it's reprehensible, but I did not know that.
 - **Q**. Would it change your opinion as to whether or not these videos are of child pornography if they are being produced under those conditions and with those instructions to the boys?
 - A. I think that the notion of pornography here that I was paying attention to and be guided by had only to do with content. I was not aware of the allegations that you're making and therefore --
 - **Q**. In general, that's what I'm asking you is what were you aware of and --
 - A. But you're asking me would it change my mind about these films, and I would say not in terms of the content.
 - **Q**. Okay. Now, as far as the content goes, can we agree that these movies, they really have no plot, correct?
- 19 A. That's incorrect.
 - **Q**. Is there any dialogue?
 - A. Yes, there was.
- **Q**. There is? Between the boys?
- 23 A. Yes.

Q. Would it be fair to characterize the films as having one nude scene after another of the boys doing

- 1 various activities, some of which you described on 2 Direct?
 - A. Repeat that, please.

- **Q**. Would it be fair to describe the movies as kind of flowing from one scene to another where the boys appear, remove their clothing and then engage in some of the activities that you described earlier?
- A. There were some scenes where they were not robing or disrobing. They were already like swimming or in the water. And you mentioned flowing, that these things are flowing. Let me tell you that I think you have a naive view of what a story or a narrative is.

 In fact, one of the DVDs --
- Q. I'm sure you could educate me a lot about the films.
- A. Knowing what a story is. You said they had no structure or story.
- **Q**. You mentioned that there was no sexualized behavior in these videos that you saw; is that correct?
- A. Yes. I didn't see anything -- but there are people who see the bee behind every flower. I didn't see any sexualized behavior.
- **Q**. Did you see yesterday when you came to my office and reviewed the last few video disks, was there a scene where boys bound or tied up one of the other

boys?

- A. That was part of the frivolity of that whole scene.
- **Q**. Did you see any sexuality in that at all, even if the boys didn't intend it?
- A. No. In fact, my overwhelming impression of that whole scene was that it was one of the ones that underscored mostly the spontaneous nature and unpredictability and playing with props. It was horsing around.
- **Q**. Okay. What about -- you saw several scenes where boys rubbed oil on each other, in the sauna pool scenes in particular?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Is that right? That wasn't sexual to you at all?
- A. No. It needn't be. You're asking questions that in some respects invite -- these are yes or no, and I understand why you're doing that, but I don't know what you mean by "sexual nature" when you say rubbing with oil. Could you clarify for me.
- Q. You were the one who testified on Direct Exam that there was no -- I'm trying to remember your phrase you used, that there was no sexualized behavior. And when the director of a movie, whoever it is, the person behind the camera is telling these boys to rub oil, one

rub oil on the other, do you find that to be sexualized behavior particularly to the purchasers of these movies, the men who are buying them?

A. You're asking a string of multiple questions. First off, I don't see a massage with oil as sexualized activity, and you're now asking me to -- if I know about somebody telling them where to put the oil and how to put the oil. That wasn't in the content.

THE COURT: Well, let me interject here. For purposes of this hearing, what is important to me is the why of your answer to the question that you don't see that activity as sexualized behavior. It doesn't really matter whether Mr. Donnelly thinks it is or isn't and what his definition is.

At this hearing, I'm interested to know why you don't think it is. What's the basis of that?

THE WITNESS: It does not seem to me to have any gestural content, any physical content the purpose of which is to arouse, seduce or somehow inspire some kind of sexual feeling and/or wanting to have a sexual outcome. It's a massage theme. It just doesn't do that -- it doesn't meet that kind of level of sexualized behavior. I don't understand --

THE COURT: Let me follow-up. Do you mean among the actors themselves? So it isn't sexualized as

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

between the two or three or how ever many boys are engaged in this activity? Is that what you're saying?

THE WITNESS: Yes. In fact, the fellow and I think two fellows who massage and -- actually, there might be two or three, but the massage is focused on the shoulder area because the camera works in those particular scenes in two different ways. One camera is down right at head level of one of the boys getting a massage. That means that he's lying down already on a And the camera also during that scene, there are actually two, also pans in a circular way the entire scene, and you only see massaging in the upper back area and there's nothing else that I recall of any kind of sexual nature that's evident in that, much less something that's -- I can't understand that being sexually provocative or intended to be sexual or to create a sexual desire. I just don't --

THE COURT: Okay. I understand your answer. Thank you.

Q. Do you have any opinion about whether or not such a scene would create sexual arousal in the viewer or the purchaser of the DVD disk?

THE COURT: He's already testified that he doesn't know what's in the mind of the viewers and so -- by the way, I take that to mean that he is not

being offered in order to give an opinion on that point. And I'm going to talk to you two about these issues later, but he's not being offered for that purpose.

 $\label{eq:MR.DONNELLY: Could I just have one second,} % \end{substitute} % \end{substit$

(Pause.)

MR. DONNELLY: That's all I have. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any follow-up, Mr. Mann?

MR. MANN: Just a few quick questions, I think.

THE COURT: All right.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MANN

- **Q**. You were asked a question about grooming. What do you know about grooming? You were asked a question about the phrase "grooming" in relation to grooming people, grooming children. Do you remember that question?
- A. Grooming?
- **Q**. Mr. Donnelly asked you a question about -- something to the effect of were you familiar with adults grooming children, or something like that.

What do you understand that to be?

A. No. I was not aware of anything like that, that

allegation.

- **Q**. But you know what grooming is, don't you?
- **A.** Grooming means basically that you're training somebody to -- like you groom somebody to play a certain part.
- **Q**. Now, you were asked a question about whether there was a plot to these movies. Was there a plot?
- A. That's -- at first glance, it seems like these movies don't have a plot, that somehow for something to be a story or a narrative that it must have a plot. I would say that these films, I don't see them necessarily having a plot. What I do see them having, however rudimentary, what we might call a structure.

To clarify that, many of Andy Warhol's movies don't have a plot. He might have a camera and he just aims it and people pass back and forth in front of it. One of the things we first saw, "V Remembered, Volume I," by definition, by definition that title means that somebody is being remembered. That is that there's a biographical intent or an activity here. You're trying to revive and/or present shards or elements of the memory of somebody. That is a narrative. It might be shapeless, but it is -- but James Joyce's "Ulysses" is in many respects shapeless. That does not mean it's without narrative structure.

MR. MANN: Nothing further.

THE COURT: I want to ask you one question that goes back to your opinion that these films do not have a seductive or a sexualized nature to them. There are two sides to that question. One is the one

Mr. Donnelly was about to ask you about and I stopped him because you said you don't know what's in the mind of the people buying these films; the other side of that is the maker of the film.

Can you tell from what you observed in the making of the film, maybe you said you don't know this, anything about the intent of the maker of the films, the filmer in making the film as to whether he desired or intended to evoke sexual feelings or sexual responses in the viewer? It's fine if you can't. It's just yes, no, or I don't know, I guess.

THE WITNESS: First off, I was expecting to see something that would have some kind of sexual content, sexual nature, and I was dumbfounded because I kept on coming up that these are really like home movies.

You raised, your Honor, an interesting question and that is what is the director or producer of these films, that there might be a marketing intent, that he might have a targeted audience. That would be how the set director understands his audience. And from what

has been said, I can see now the possibility that these films could be intended to be sold to people who would view them intently or intentionally with a sexual bias or with a sexual purpose.

This is one thing that everybody is going to be wondering. Like, how do I justify my opinion? How does so and so justify an opinion? In my opinion, looking at the content and evaluating it by criteria that go into the production and reception of films, I do not see anything where there's an emphasis or something that is unambiguously sexualized or has a sexual purpose. That's what puzzled me when I saw these films. And in fact, they're almost boring.

THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you very much. You may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: So, Counsel, we have a bit of a problem, which is I have a meeting at four o'clock and that is five minutes. While I don't think we need a lot of time for you to argue on this motion and the other motions, we can't get it done in five minutes and I can't keep that meeting waiting.

So what I'd like you to do is if it's possible in your schedules for you to come back tomorrow at 2:30, and we'll do the argument at that time and I'll

hopefully make my rulings. Can you both do that?

MR. MANN: The only reason I have any hesitation, Judge, is I have not been able to figure out what one of the courts is doing that I was supposed to be in today that was closed today and whether they're running that calendar tomorrow. One of the courts we figured out. The other one we haven't been able to figure out. I hope that court would understand if I told them I had to be in Federal Court to continue a hearing. I would ask your indulgence. I might have to be in New Bedford. I won't know that until tomorrow morning, apparently.

THE COURT: Well, if it becomes something you can't avoid, then I have between -- we can go off the record.

(Discussion off the record.)

(Court concluded at 4:00 p.m.)

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, Anne M. Clayton, RPR, certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the transcript originally filed with the clerk on September 16, 2014, and incorporating redactions of personal identifiers requested by the following attorney of record: Robert B. Mann, in accordance with the Judicial Conference policy. Redacted characters appear as a black box in the transcript.

Anne M. Clayton, RPR
······································
October 16, 2014
Date

/s/ Anne M. Clayton